

Before the Presiding Judges of the Administrative Judicial Regions

Per Curiam Rule 12 Decision

APPEAL NO.: 25-023

RESPONDENT: Grapevine Municipal Court

DATE: December 1, 2025

SPECIAL COMMITTEE: Judge Missy Medary, Chair; Judge Ray Wheless; Judge Dib Waldrip; Judge Alfonso Charles; Judge Susan Brown

Petitioner requested from Respondent under the Public Information Act two categories of records:

- All documents, memoranda, contracts, resolutions, or interlocal agreements authorizing or delegating to Respondent “authority to exercise extraterritorial jurisdiction for the issuance of search warrants outside the territorial limits of Grapevine”; and
- The affidavit of probable cause for a certain search warrant.

In response to the request, Respondent noted that it had previously disclosed the requested search warrant and supporting affidavit. Nonetheless, Respondent disclosed a responsive search warrant with redactions and invoked Rule 12.5(d) (*Home Address and Family Information*) and Rule 12.5(i) (*Information Confidential under Other Law*) to justify the withholding of certain information within the disclosed search warrant. In its petition for review, Petitioner complained only that Respondent had not disclosed “the document establishing the legal basis for [Respondent’s] extraterritorial action,” and that “[i]f no such memoranda, agreement, or delegation exists, that too should have been plainly stated by” Respondent. In a reply to the petition, Respondent informed the special committee and Petitioner that there were no documents responsive to the remainder of Petitioner’s request.

Respondent has stated it does not have any remaining responsive records to disclose to Petitioner. There being no withheld records for the special committee to consider, then, the petition is dismissed.