

BL

CAUSE NO. DC-2025-CV-0953

RICHARD MYRON JONES
Plaintiff

V.

**MEARS MAZDA VOLVO
AND CAMERON IRWIN**
Defendant

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

IN THE 72ND DISTRICT COURT

OF AND FOR

LUBBOCK COUNTY, TEXAS

ORDER DECLARING RICHARD MYRON JONES A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT

On, Sept. 12, 2025, in accordance with TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 11.053, this Court considered Defendant's, MEARS MAZDA VOLVO and CAMERON IRWIN, "Motion for Court to Declare Richard Myron Jones a Vexatious Litigant."

The Court having reviewed the motion, pleadings, the law, evidence, and arguments of all parties, made the following findings and orders:

Appearances

The hearing was held by submission only.

Jurisdiction

The Court, after examining the record and after considering the evidence and argument of counsel, finds that it has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties in this case. All persons entitled to citation were properly cited.

Findings

DEFENDANT'S *Motion* was timely filed on or before the 90th day after the filing of DEFENDANT'S *Answer* in this litigation, in accordance with TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE §11.051.

RICHARD MYRON JONES is a PLAINTIFF as he is an individual who commenced or maintained this litigation, *pro se*. TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 11.001(5).

PLAINTIFF initiated the present litigation, *pro se*, on June 30th, 2025, when he filed “Verified Petition for Declaratory Judgment, Temporary Restraining Order (TRO), and Order to Seize Secured Property,” alleging that he had a security interest in a Rolls-Royce owned by MEARS MAZDA VOLVO. PLAINTIFF has also filed numerous other pleadings in this case alleging that he is entitled to the Rolls-Royce.

The claim asserted by PLAINTIFF in the present case was dismissed with prejudice by the Court on August 26, 2025. Therefore, there is not a reasonable probability that Plaintiff will prevail in the litigation against Defendant. *See* TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE §11.054. PLAINTIFF then filed “Testimonial of Commercial Truth & True Bill of Commercial Damages,” demanding damages from DEFENDANT, which is an attempt to challenge the validity of the determination against him.

The “Testimonial of Commercial Truth & True Bill of Commercial Damages” was a “litigation,” as defined by TEX.

CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 11.001(2) because it was a civil action commenced, maintained, or pending in any state or federal court.

After a litigation was finally determined against PLAINTIFF, he attempted to relitigate, *pro se*, the validity of the determination against the DEFENDANT, which was the same DEFENDANT as to whom the litigation was finally determined. *See* TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 11.054(2)(A).

The present litigation is an attempt to relitigate the validity of the final determination made against him in the dismissal.

Courts cannot allow litigants to abuse the judicial system and harass their victims without consequence. Accordingly, the Court FINDS that PLAINTIFF, RICHARD MYRON JONES, is such a litigant, and his conduct is subject to review and action by this Court.

TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE Ch. 11 provides this Court with the authority to prevent PLAINTIFF from using the judicial system to retaliate against and harass DEFENDANT or any other party.

Orders of the Court

Chapter 11 of the TEXAS CIVIL PRACTICE & REMEDIES CODE governs suits brought by vexatious litigants. The Court may, on a defendant's motion or *sua sponte*, designate a party as a vexatious litigant. TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 11.101.

A party may be declared a vexatious litigant if there is not a reasonable probability that they will prevail in litigation AND after a litigation has been finally determined against the plaintiff, the plaintiff repeatedly relitigates or attempts to relitigate, *pro se*, either the validity of the determination against the same defendant as to whom the litigation was finally determined OR the cause of action, claim, controversy, or any of the issues of fact or law determined or concluded by the final determination against the same defendant as to whom the litigation was finally determined. TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 11.054

Specifically, the court finds as follows:

- (1) There is NOT a reasonable probability that Plaintiff will prevail in his current litigation against Defendant.
- (2) After a litigation has been finally determined against Plaintiff, he repeatedly relitigated or attempted to relitigate, *pro se*, the validity of the determination

against Defendant, which was the same defendant as to whom the litigation was finally determined.

(3) RICHARD MYRON JONES meets the criteria for finding a plaintiff as a vexatious litigant.

Accordingly, this court ORDERS that RICHARD MYRON JONES is adjudicated to be a vexatious litigant.

Because of this, the Court ORDERS that RICHARD MYRON JONES is prohibited from filing *pro se* any new litigation in a court in this state under the name “RICHARD MYRON JONES” “RICHARD M. JONES” “RICHARD-M:JONES” “RICHARD-MYRON:JONES” or ANY OTHER NAME, without first being granted permission to file by the local administrative judge, pursuant to TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 11.101. Upon requesting any such permission, PLAINTIFF is ordered to provide a copy of the request to all defendants named in the proposed litigation. Such permission shall be granted only if the litigation appears to have merit and is not filed for purposes of harassment or delay; such permission may also be conditioned on the furnishing of a security.

RICHARD MYRON JONES is hereby NOTIFIED that he is subject to punishment pursuant to the inherent powers of the court or by contempt if he fails to obey this pre-filing order.

The court further ORDERS that the clerk of the Court is directed to notify the Office of Court Administration of this Court’s declaration of RICHARD MYRON JONES as a vexatious litigant and this pre-filing order, pursuant to TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 11.103(a). The Office of Court Administration of the Texas Judicial System shall list RICHARD MYRON JONES aka “RICHARD M. JONES” aka “RICHARD-M:JONES” aka “RICHARD-MYRON:JONES” on the

list of vexatious litigants subject to prefiling orders on the agency's internet website. TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE §11.104(b).

Finally, the Court ORDERS that proceedings in this matter are hereby stayed and that RICHARD MYRON JONES is ORDERED to furnish security for the benefit of DEFENDANT, MEARS MAZDA VOLVO, to assure payment to them for their reasonable expenses incurred in or in connection with the commenced litigation, including costs and attorney's fees. IT IS ORDERED that security, in the amount of \$1,750 (ONE THOUSAND SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTY AND 00/100 DOLLARS) shall be deposited into the registry of the court by mailing a cashier's check or money order, payable to the registry of the Court, to Lubbock County District Clerk, 904 Broadway, Room 105, Lubbock, TX 79401, and the payment shall contain this matter's cause number in the memorandum line.

IT IS ORDERED that if PLAINTIFF does not furnish the security ordered above within ten (10) days following the date of this Order, then the Court shall dismiss this case with prejudice in its entirety, pursuant to TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 11.056

IT IS ORDERED that if PLAINTIFF furnishes the security ordered above, and the litigation is dismissed on its merits, then MEARS MAZDA VOLVO shall have recourse to the security furnished in an amount that shall be determined by the Court.

Date: 9/15/2025



JUDGE PRESIDING