

COURTS OF CIVIL APPEALS 1969	First at Houston	Second at Fort Worth	Third at Austin	Fourth at San Antonio	Fifth at Dallas	Sixth at Texarkana	Seventh at Amarillo	Eighth at El Paso	Ninth at Beaumont	Tenth at Waco	Eleventh at Eastland	Twelfth at Tyler	Thirteenth at Corpus Christi	Fourteenth at Houston	Total for All Courts
1. Cases pending Jan. 1	40	42	37	53	60	27	43	43	38	14	11	21	50	37	516
2. New cases filed in 1969	154	83*	74	85	175	31	117	73	61*	54	46	46*	51	103	1,153
3. Cases transferred from other courts	3	1	0	10	0	20	0	1	33	38	57	11	0	27	201
4. Cases transferred to other courts	47	1	0	18	75	0	33	10	0	0	0	1	14	4	203
5. Cases affirmed in toto	53	58	34	38	54	23	50	51	38	47	44	26	28	52	596
6. Cases reformed or modified and affirmed	2	4	3	5	1	3	1	1	0	4	3	0	5	6	38
7. Cases affirmed in part and in part reversed and rendered	2	0	1	0	3	1	0	0	2	0	3	3	1	0	16
8. Cases affirmed in part and in part reversed and remanded	1	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	10
9. Cases reversed and remanded in toto	21	9	9	12	16	7	11	11	21	15	11	5	6	19	173
10. Cases reversed and in part remanded	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	8
11. Cases remanded on agreed motion	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
12. Cases reversed and finally adjudicated	8	6	19	14	9	3	7	4	18	10	2	9	7	13	129
13. Cases dismissed with written opinion	2	0	4	2	0	11	3	0	3	8	3	8	8	8	60
14. Cases dismissed with- out written opinion	15	2	10	5	13	0	13	3	8	0	7	1	1	8	86
15. Cases otherwise disposed	5	11	4	2	2	0	3	1	7	1	0	0	0	9	45
16. Total cases disposed	109	91	85	81	104	49	90	71	97	88	75	52	58	116	1,166
17. Total cases decided (including dismissals with written opinion)	94	89	75	76	91	49	77	68	89	88	68	51	57	108	1,080
18. Cases pending Dec. 31	41	34	26	49	56	29	37	36	35	18	39	25	29	47	501
On docket over 6 months	5	0	1	2	0	1	5	9	1	1	2	5	7	7	46
On docket over 12 months	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	5
On docket over 18 months	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

*Includes 1 case remanded by Supreme Court

OPINIONS¹ WRITTEN BY JUSTICES OF THE COURTS OF CIVIL APPEALS

1969

Court and Justices	(a) Original Opinions of the Court on Merits ²	(b) Con- curring Opinions	(c) Dis- senting Opinions	(d) Opinions Refusing Rehearing ³	(e) Opinions Granting Rehearing ⁴	(f) Opinions Dis- missing Appeal	(g) Per Curiam	(h) Total Per Justice	(i) Total for Court
FIRST							1)	
S. Bell	17	0	0	0	0	1		18)	
T. Coleman	36	0	0	1	4	1		42)	100
P. Peden	36	0	0	1	2	0		39)	
SECOND							1)	
F. Massey	27	0	2	0	0	0		29)	
*H. Brewster	4	0	0	0	0	0		4)	93
*T. Renfro	22	1	0	0	0	6		29)	
J. Langdon	27	0	0	0	2	1		30)	
THIRD							2)	
J. Phillips	20	0	1	1/2	1/2	1		23)	
R. Hughes	29	4	3	0	0	1		37)	85
T. O'Quinn	19	0	1	0	0	3		23)	
FOURTH							1)	
C. Barrow	38	0	2	1	0	0		41)	
C. Cadena	12	0	4	1	0	1		18)	82
J. Klingeman	22	0	0	0	0	0		22)	
FIFTH							1)	
D. Dixon	27	0	0	0	0	0		27)	
H. Bateman	27	0	1	0	1	0		29)	88
C. Williams	31	0	0	0	0	0		31)	
SIXTH							0)	
T. Chadick	13	0	0	1	1	3		18)	
M. Davis	10	0	0	0	0	6		16)	53
W. Fanning	15	0	0	1	1	2		19)	
SEVENTH							2)	
J. Denton	20	1	0	0	3	0		24)	
J. Joy	23	0	0	0	1	2		26)	77
E. Northcutt	24	0	1	0	0	0		25)	

*Justice Renfro retired 10-31-69. Justice Brewster appointed.

EIGHTH								1	
A. Fraser	27	0	0	0	1	0	28)		
*W. Clayton	2	0	0	0	0	0	2)	70	
S. Preslar	22	0	1	0	0	0	23)		
*W. Ward	16	0	0	0	0	0	16)		
NINTH								4	
J. Parker	24	1	1	0	1	0	27)		
Q. Keith	28	2	4	0	1	0	35)	104	
H. Stephenson	29	3	5	0	1	0	38)		
TENTH								0	
F. McDonald	26	0	1	0	0	4	31)		
V. Hall	21	0	0	0	0	4	25)	89	
F. Wilson	31	0	0	0	0	2	33)		
ELEVENTH								1	
C. Grissom	20	0	0	0	0	1	21)		
C. Collings	20	0	0	0	0	0	20)	65	
E. Walter	20	0	0	0	1	2	23)		
TWELFTH								4	
O. Dunagan	15	0	0	0	0	2	17)		
**C. McKay	4	0	0	0	0	0	4)	52	
**J. Moore	17	0	0	0	0	1	18)		
**G. Sellers	6	0	1	0	0	2	9)		
THIRTEENTH								0	
H. Green	18	2	0	0	1	3	24)		
P. Nye	14	0	3	0	1	2	20)	70	
T. Sharpe	14	4-1/2	2-1/2	0	0	3	24)		
***J. Norvell	1	0	0	1/2	1/2	0	2)		
FOURTEENTH								3	
B. Tunks	34	0	0	0	1	0	35)		
J. Barron	29	1	0	0	0	5	35)	111	
S. Johnson	33	4	0	0	0	1	38)		

¹For the reason explained in the Cautionary Statement at p. 23 above, the mere number of opinions attributable to particular courts or judges for a single year may not be significant in estimating judicial output.

²Generally, over a sufficient period of time, such as two years or more, these, together with those in column (e), reliably indicate the output of the Court or Judge in question.

³Ordinarily these involve substantially less work than an original opinion of the Court, but occasionally the contrary is true.

⁴Ordinarily these are comparable to an original opinion of the Court.

*Justice Clayton retired 5-30-69. Justice Ward appointed.

**Justice Sellers retired 10-31-69. Justice McKay appointed.

***Justice Norvell was a Special Judge assigned to sit on one case only.