



11/11/2020

11/11/2020

11/11/2020

11/11/2020

11/11/2020

11/11/2020

11/11/2020

11/11/2020

No. _____

COMMISSION FOR LAWYER)	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
DISCIPLINE)	
)	
V.)	BEXAR COUNTY, T E X A S
)	
JOE R. GILBREATH)	___TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

ORIGINAL DISCIPLINARY PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:


Comes now, Petitioner, COMMISSION FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINE, a committee of the State Bar of Texas, complaining of Respondent, JOE R. GILBREATH, and in support thereof would respectfully show the Court the following:

Parties

Petitioner is the COMMISSION FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINE, a committee of the State Bar of Texas. Respondent, JOE R. GILBREATH, State Bar Number 07904200, was, at the time the following alleged acts of professional misconduct occurred, an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Texas and a member of the State Bar of Texas. Respondent may be served with process at, [REDACTED], his usual place of residence.

Venue

At the time the alleged professional misconduct occurred, Respondent maintained a law office in San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas. The alleged acts of professional misconduct occurred in whole or in part in Bexar County, Texas. Accordingly, pursuant to Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure 3.03, venue is proper in Bexar County, Texas.





1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that this is crucial for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for consistent and reliable data collection processes to support effective decision-making.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the role of technology in modern data management. It discusses how advanced software solutions can streamline data collection, storage, and analysis, leading to more efficient and accurate results.

4. The fourth part of the document addresses the challenges associated with data management, such as data quality, security, and integration. It provides strategies to overcome these challenges and ensure the integrity and availability of data.

5. The fifth part of the document discusses the importance of data governance and compliance. It outlines the key principles and practices that organizations should follow to ensure they are meeting regulatory requirements and protecting their data.

6. The sixth part of the document explores the future of data management, including emerging trends and technologies. It discusses how artificial intelligence, machine learning, and cloud computing are shaping the way data is managed and analyzed.

7. The seventh part of the document provides a summary of the key findings and recommendations. It emphasizes the need for a holistic approach to data management that encompasses all aspects of the organization's data lifecycle.

8. The eighth part of the document offers concluding thoughts and a call to action. It encourages organizations to embrace data as a strategic asset and to invest in the necessary resources and capabilities to maximize its value.

9. The final part of the document provides a list of references and resources for further reading. It includes books, articles, and online resources that provide additional insights into the topics discussed in the document.

STATE BAR OF TEXAS



CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
Z 292 150 093

Office of the General Counsel
Regional Office
Soledad Plaza West
425 Soledad, Suite 300
San Antonio, Texas 78205
(210) 271-7881
FAX: (210) 271-9642

October 19, 1999

John T. Adams, Clerk
Supreme Court of Texas
P.O. Box 12248
Austin, Texas 78711

RE: Commission for Lawyer Discipline v. Joe R. Gilbreath


Dear Mr. Adams:

Enclosed please find an original and three (3) copies of a Disciplinary Petition being filed by the Commission for Lawyer Discipline against Joe R. Gilbreath. Mr. Gilbreath has designated **Bexar County** as his principal place of practice. Request is hereby made that the Court appoint an active District Judge who does not reside in the Administrative Judicial Region in which Respondent resides to preside in this case. Upon appointment, request is made that you notify the Respondent at the address shown below and the undersigned of the identity and address of the judge assigned:

Joe R. Gilbreath
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

As a practical matter, I would respectfully suggest that you inquire with the judge to be appointed as to whether he or she will be able to comply with the 180 day deadline by which the case must be set for trial as set forth in Section 3.07 of the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure. If not, I would respectfully request that an alternate appointment be made.

Once a trial judge has been appointed, please forward the original and three (3) copies of the Disciplinary Petition, the filing fee check, also enclosed herewith, and the Court's appointing







THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

CHIEF JUSTICE
THOMAS R. PHILLIPS

POST OFFICE BOX 12248 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711

TEL: (512) 463-1312

FAX: (512) 463-1365

JUSTICES
NATHAN L. HECHT
CRAIG T. ENOCH
PRISCILLA R. OWEN
JAMES A. BAKER
GREG ABBOTT
DEBORAH G. HANKINSON
HARRIET O'NEILL
ALBERTO R. GONZALES

CLERK
JOHN T. ADAMS

EXECUTIVE ASS'T
WILLIAM L. WILLIS

DEPUTY EXECUTIVE ASS'T
JIM HUTCHESON

ADMINISTRATIVE ASS'T
NADINE SCHNEIDER

DEC 10 1999

Mr. Robert E. Kaszczuk
Assistant General Counsel, State Bar of Texas
425 Soledad, Suite 300
San Antonio, Texas 78205

Mr. Joe R. Gilbreath
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Dear Mr. Kaszczuk and Mr. Gilbreath:

Pursuant to Rule 3.02 of the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure, I hereby notify you that the Supreme Court of Texas has appointed the Honorable Dee Miller, Judge of the 254th District Court, Dallas, Texas to preside in

Commission for Lawyer Discipline v. Joe R. Gilbreath

Sincerely,

SIGNED

John T. Adams
Clerk

