SUPREME COURT DOCKET ACTIVITY: FY 1998 - 2002

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Causes/Cases Added					
Regular Causes 1	115	107	116	119	118
Other Than Regular Causes	1,363	1,325	1,391	1,296	1,288
Disciplinary Appeals	13	9	7	5	7
Motions	1,518	1,592	1,720	1,642	1,778
Dispositions					
Regular Causes	108	118	111	118	112
Other Than Regular Causes	1,473	1,326	1,397	1,287	1,302
Disciplinary Appeals	8	14	5	10	4
Motions	1,615	1,606	1,672	1,600	1,812
Pending at the End of the FY					
Regular Causes	63	50	61	63	62
Other Than Regular Causes	359	364	370	380	371
Disciplinary Appeals	9	4	6	1	4
Motions	58	44	104	147	126

NOTE: Data for prior fiscal years are from the respective Annual Reports of the Texas Judicial System.

¹ "Regular causes" involve cases in which four or more of the Supreme Court justices have decided in conference that a petition for review, petition for writ of mandamus or habeas corpus, or parental notification appeal should be reviewed. Regular causes also include direct appeals the Court has agreed to review and questions of law certified to it by a federal appellate court that the Court has agreed to answer.

SUPREME COURT DETAILED DOCKET ACTIVITY: FY 2002

		Pending: 9/1/2001 ²	Causes Added	Total on Docket	Dispositions	Pending: 8/31/2002
REC	GULAR CAUSES					
G	Franted Petitions for Review		103		97	
A	accepted Petitions for Writs of Mandamus		9		9	
P	arental Notification Appeals		1		1	
D	Pirect Appeals		4		4	
R	einstated Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus		1		1	
Tota	al for Regular Causes	56	118	174	112	62
ОТІ	HER THAN REGULAR CAUSES					
	Petitions for Review	329	986	1,315	1,001	314
	Mandamus	53	269	322	269	53
	Habeas Corpus	1	16	17	17	0
	Writs of Prohibition & Injunction	0	4	4	3	1
	Direct Appeals	0	10	10	8	2
	Certified Questions	0	0	C	0	0
	Petitions for Certiorari	0	0	C	0	0
	Petitions to Publish Under Rule 90c/47.3	2	1	3	2	1
	Petitions for Temporary Injunctions	0	0	C	0	0
	Parental Notification Appeals	0	2	2	2	0
	Emergency Stays	0	0	C	0	0
	Appeals from Board of Disciplinary Appeals	1	7	8	3 4	4
Tota	al for Other than Regular Causes	386	1,295	1,681	1,306	375
MO	TIONS					
	Causes	12	50	62	54	8
	Petitions for Review	10	242	252	230	22
Jo	Mandamus: Civil	4	36	40	40	0
Rehearing of	Habeas Corpus	0	1	1	. 1	0
hea	Writs of Prohibition & Injunction	0	0	C	0	0
×	Direct Appeal	0	2	2	=	0
	Certified Questions	0	0	C		0
	Petitions to Publish Under Rule 90c/47.3	0	0	1.501		0
	Miscellaneous Motions	134	1,447	1,581		96
Tota	al for Motions	160	1,778	1,938	1,812	126
TO	TAL FOR ALL	602	3,191	3,793	3,230	563

² Cases pending at the beginning of the fiscal year (September 1) may not equal cases pending at the end of the previous fiscal year (August 31) due to docket adjustments.

FINAL DISPOSITION OF REGULAR CAUSES: FY 2002

Granted Petitions for Review	#	Accepted Petitions for Writs of Mandamus	#
Affirmed	20	Granted	1
Affirmed in part, reversed in part & remanded to trial court	2	Conditionally granted	6
Affirmed in part, reversed in part & judgment rendered	6	Denied	1
Reversed	4	Dismissed	1
Reversed & rendered	21	TOTAL: Writs of Mandamus	9
Reversed & remanded to court of appeals	10		
Reversed, rendered in part, remanded to trial court	1	Parental Notification Appeals	#
Reversed, rendered & remanded to court of appeals	1	Reversed & rendered	1
Reversed in part	1		
Reversed in part & remanded to trial court	3	Direct Appeals	#
Reversed & dismissed	2	Trial court reversed	2
Reversed, trial court affirmed	1	Vacated & remanded to trial court	1
Reversed & dismissed without jurisdiction	1	Reversed & remanded to trial court	1
Reversed & remanded to trial court	12	TOTAL: Direct Appeals	4
Vacated & remanded to court of appeals	1		
Modified & remanded to trial court	1	Habeas Corpus	#
Improvident grant – denied	2	Denied	1
Improvident grant - dismissed w/o jurisdiction	1		
Dismissed	3	TOTAL DISPOSITIONS:	112
Withdrawn	1		
Granted – case settled by agreement of the parties	2		
Granted in part – case settled by agreement of the parties	1		
TOTAL: Granted Petitions for Review	97		

DISPOSITION OF OTHER THAN REGULAR CAUSES: FY 2002

	Initial Review Granted / Accepted	Review Refused/ Denied	Dismissed	Dismissed w/o Jurisdiction	Abated	Struck	Trans- ferred	With- drawn	Total
Petitions for Review	116	828	16	21	4	12	1	3	1,001
Petitions for Writs of Mandamus: Civil	20	202	22	6	3	6	9	1	269
Petitions for Writs of Habeas Corpus	0	10	6	1	0	0	0	0	17
Petitions for Writs of Prohibition & Injunction	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
Direct Appeals	2	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	8
Certified Questions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Petitions for Certiorari	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pet itions for Temporary Injunctions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Petitions to Publish Under Rule 90c/47.3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Parental Notification Appeals	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Emergency Stay	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Appeals from Board of Disciplinary Appeals	2*	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
TOTAL FOR ALL	142	1,042	48	35	7	18	10	4	1,306

NOTE: * Affirmed

DISPOSITION OF MOTIONS: FY 2002

				Dismis	Dismissed	d			
		Denied	Granted	sed	WOJ	Returned	Struck	Withdrawn	Total
	Causes	47	2	0	0	4	0	1	54
Jc	Petition for Review	218	9	2	0	0	1	0	230
	Petition for Writ of Mandamus	37	1	1	1	0	0	0	40
aring	Habeas Corpus	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Rehe	Writs of Prohibition & Injunction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
~	Rehearing on Direct Appeal	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Petitions to Publish Under Rule 90c/47.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mi	scellaneous Motions Passed on by Court	199	1,225	56	0	0	5	0	1,485
T(OTAL FOR ALL MOTIONS	504	1,237	59	1	4	6	1	1,812

OPINIONS DELIVERED BY THE SUPREME COURT: FY 2002

Deciding Opinions*	107
Concurring	25
Dissenting	29
Concurring and Dissenting	4
On Motion for Rehearing	0
Other Opinions	0
TOTAL OPINIONS DELIVERED	165

^{*} Includes majority and per curiam opinions.

OPINIONS OF THE SUPREME COURT: FY 2002

Justices	Majority Opinions	Per Curiam Opinions	Concurring Opinions	Dissenting Opinions	Concurring and Dissenting	Total
Chief Justice Thomas R. Phillips	4	1	4	2	1	12
Justice Nathan D. Hecht	7	12	7	6	0	32
Justice Craig T. Enoch	13	3	3	3	2	24
Justice Priscilla R. Owen	3	0	3	2	0	8
Justice James A. Baker	16	6	2	9	0	33
Justice Deborah G. Hankinson	9	2	0	3	1	15
Justice Harriet O' Neill	11	3	0	2	0	16
Justice Wallace B. Jefferson	5	2	3	1	0	11
Justice Xavier Rodriguez	9	1	2	1	0	13
Justice Scott A. Brister (appointed)	0	0	1	0	0	1
TOTAL	77	30	25	29	4	165

ACTION BY THE SUPREME COURT IN GRANTED PETITIONS FOR REVIEW BY COURT OF APPEALS: FY 2002

	1 ST	2 ND	3 RD	4 TH	5 TH	6 TH	7 TH	8 TH	9 TH	10 TH	11 TH	12 TH	13 TH	14 TH	Tota
OPINIONS ISSUED															
Court of Appeals ruling is:															
Affirmed	4	0	7	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	20
Affirmed in part, reversed in part & remanded to the trial court	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Affirmed in part, reversed in part & judgment rendered	1	0	0	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Reversed	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4
Reversed & rendered	2	2	2	2	0	0	1	2	2	4	0	0	2	2	21
Reversed & remanded to Court of Appeals	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	3	1	1	10
Reversed, rendered in part & remanded to trial court	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Reversed, rendered & remanded to Court of Appeals	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Reversed in part	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Reversed in part & remanded to trial court	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Reversed & case is dismissed	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Reversed & trial court is ruling affirmed	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Reversed & case is dismissed without jurisdiction	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Reversed & remanded to trial court	1	1	4	3	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	12
Vacated & case is remanded to Court of Appeals	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Modified & remanded to Court of Appeals	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Dismissed	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Withdrawn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
PETITIONS FOR REVIEW DISPOSED															
Granted – case settled by agreement of the parties	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3
Writ improvidently granted & is denied	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Writ improvidently granted & is dismissed without jurisdiction	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Dismissed	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	13	7	19	11	5	4	5	5	4	5	3	3	7	6	97

PETITIONS FOR REVIEW FILED BY COUNTY: FY 2002

County	Number	County	Number	County	Number	County	Number
Harris	185	Williamson	4	Frio	2	Hardeman	1
Dallas	159	Bastrop	3	Goliad	2	Harrison	1
Travis	69	Bee	3	Gonzales	2	Hill	1
Tarrant	64	Calhoun	3	Guadalupe	2	Hockley	1
Bexar	60	Chambers	3	Henderson	2	Hutchinson	1
El Paso	31	Ector	3	Hopkins	2	Kendall	1
Nueces	23	Ellis	3	Houston	2	Kerr	1
Hidalgo	20	Erath	3	Leon	2	Kleberg	1
Jefferson	20	Hays	3	Liberty	2	Knox	1
Cameron	16	Hunt	3	Maverick	2	Llano	1
McLennan	13	Jasper	3	Panola	2	Madison	1
Collin	12	Limestone	3	Randall	2	Matagorda	1
Denton	12	Midland	3	Rusk	2	McCulloch	1
Galveston	12	Nacogdoches	3	Stephens	2	Medina	1
Brazoria	10	Scurry	3	Tyler	2	Navarro	1
Fort Bend	8	Shelby	3	Webb	2	Nolan	1
Gregg	8	Tom Green	3	Wharton	2	Parker	1
Montgomery	8	Angelina	2	Wood	2	Pecos	1
Potter	8	Austin	2	Aransas	1	Rockwall	1
Smith	8	Bell	2	Atascosa	1	Sabine	1
Grayson	7	Borden	2	Bandera	1	San Patricio	1
Anderson	6	Bowie	2	Bosque	1	San Saba	1
Lubbock	6	Brazos	2	Burleson	1	Starr	1
Colorado	5	Brown	2	Clay	1	Terry	1
Orange	5	Burnet	2	Comal	1	Titus	1
Victoria	5	Caldwell	2	Comanche	1	Upshur	1
Wichita	5	Coryell	2	Cooke	1	Van Zandt	1
Dawson	4	De Witt	2	Crockett	1	Wheeler	1
Hood	4	Duval	2	Crosby	1	Wilbarger	1
Johnson	4	Eastland	2	Gaines	1	Wise	1
Polk	4	Fannin	2	Gillespie	1	Young	1
Taylor	4	Fayette	2	Grimes	1	Zavala	1
Walker	4	Freestone	2	Hansford	1		

GRAND TOTAL = 976

PETITIONS FOR REVIEW FILED BY **COURT OF APPEALS: FY 2002**

Court of Appeals	Filed	Court of Appeals	Filed					
1 st Houston	88	8 th El Paso	50					
2 nd Fort Worth	89	9 th Beaumont	36					
3 rd Austin	95	10 th Waco	41					
4 th San Antonio	81	11 th Eastland	44					
5 th Dallas	152	12 th Tyler	34					
6 th Texarkana	38	13 th Corpus Christi	91					
7 th Amarillo			106					
TOTAL = 976								