## **District Court Performance Measures**

Clearance Rate and Backlog Index for the Year Ended August 31, 2002 (Counties Listed in Alphabetical Order)

	2000	CIVIL CASES CRIMINAL CASES			CASES	JUVENILE CASES	
	Population	Clearance Rate Ba	cklog Index		cklog Index	Clearance Rate	
Anderson	55,109	80.6%	2.5	124.5%	0.6	*	
Andrews	13,004	97.9%	1.8	100.0%	1.1	*	
Angelina	80,130	84.5%	5.1	*	*	106.5%	
Aransas	22,497	86.4%	0.9	92.5%	0.6	*	
Archer	8,854	96.4%	1.1	100.0%	0.9	85.7%	
Armstrong	2.148	59.5%	2.4	200.0%	1.3	*	
Atascosa	38,628	76.9%	1.5	72.6%	1.1	104.3%	
Austin	23,590	162.0%	2.2	81.1%	1.7	*	
Bailey	6,594	109.0%	0.4	90.1%	0.4	*	
Bandera	17,645	77.0%	2.4	48.1%	1.0	100.0%	
Bastrop	57,733	77.4%	3.4	88.9%	1.2	*	
Baylor	4,093	103.3%	1.3	96.6%	4.6	70.0%	
Bee	32,359	93.9%	0.5	98.4%	0.5	73.0%	
Bell	237,974	99.0%	0.6	91.4%	0.7	91.8%	
Bexar	1,392,931	103.2%	0.7	90.5%	0.6	97.0%	
Blanco	8,418	91.2%	1.3	86.1%	1.3	*	
Borden	72900%	140.0%	10.4	0.0%	*	*	
Bosque	17,204	83.5%	0.7	75.3%	0.4	96.2%	
Bowie	89,306	110.6%	1.0	88.7%	0.9	*	
Brazoria	241,767	105.6%	1.0	95.8%	0.9	*	
Brazos	152,415	66.9%	1.5	109.9%	0.6	0.0%	
Brewster	8,866	97.1%	1.5	126.8%	2.7	0.0%	
Briscoe	1,790	93.3%	1.9	23.1%	3.7	*	
Brooks	7,976	87.6%	1.0	74.3%	2.8	*	
Brown	37,674	94.3%	1.3	92.1%	1.1	*	
D	1 - 10-	00.00		05.00	0.0		
Burleson Burnet	16,470 34,147	90.9% 99.2%	0.7 1.0	97.0% 95.0%	0.9 0.7		
Burnet Caldwell	34,147 32,194	99.2% 72.3%	2.5	95.0% 94.3%	1.0	77.5%	
Caldwell Calhoun	20,647	72.5% 84.9%	2.3	181.3%	2.8	*	
Callahan	12,905	96.8%	1.5	88.0%	0.8	0.0%	
Cameron	335,227	102.8%	0.5	95.6%	0.9	78.3%	
Camp	11,549	83.0%	2.4	77.3%	1.2	80.0%	
Carson Cass	6,516 30,438	105.1% 102.8%	3.9	81.8%	1.5		
Cass Castro	30,438 8,285	102.8% 90.5%	1.2 1.1	83.3% 76.2%	0.8 0.9	113.3%	
-moti O	0,203	70.370	1.1	70.270	0.7		
Chambers	26,031	94.0%	1.2	96.2%	0.9	*	
Cherokee	46,659	87.6%	3.4	117.2%	1.4	103.7%	
Childress	7,688	78.0%	1.1	84.8%	1.0	*	
Clay Cochran	11,006	114.4% 137.0%	0.8 2.4	88.9% 53.3%	0.7 3.4	250.0%	
Cocinan	3,730	137.0%	2.4	33.370	3.4		
Coke	3,864	82.1%	1.0	90.9%	0.5	100.0%	
Coleman	9,235	91.7%	1.6	98.8%	2.4	*	
Collin	491,675	92.2%	0.5	93.4%	0.8	108.5%	
Collingsworth	3,206	149.7%	1.1	93.8%	0.7	*	
Colorado	20,390	88.5%	0.7	119.3%	0.5	*	
Comal	78,021	69.0%	1.9	96.7%	1.3	132.9%	
Comanche	14,026	100.0%	0.5	102.8%	0.5	*	
Concho	3,966	60.3%	1.3	56.9%	0.3	*	
Cooke	36,363	77.4%	1.2	92.4%	1.3		
Coryell	74,978	44.5%	2.1	97.3%	0.6	102.9%	
Cottle	1,904	74.1%	1.1	90.0%	1.2	*	
Crane	3,996	194.9%	2.6	18.2%	16.0	*	
Crockett	4,099	97.6%	2.5	151.2%	1.7	*	
Crosby	7,072	114.0%	2.8	124.2%	2.9	33.3%	
Culberson	2,975	129.2%	2.0	89.6%	1.4	*	
Dallam	6,222	128.8%	0.8	88.8%	0.5	*	
Dallam Dallas	2,218,899	93.9%	1.1	93.7%	0.5	88.9%	
Dawson	14,985	94.7%	1.6	107.1%	0.9	83.0%	
Deaf Smith	18,561	103.1%	0.9	81.4%	1.1	*	
Delta	5,327	124.3%	2.8	91.5%	1.1	36.4%	
Denton	432,976	90.8%	0.6	97.1%	0.6		
Denton De Witt	432,976 20,013	90.8% 94.9%	0.6 1.1	97.1% 102.0%	0.6	*	
De Witt Dickens	20,013	94.9% 171.9%	5.1	166.7%	1.5	*	
Dimmit	10,248	62.5%	1.8	111.8%	2.4	116.7%	
Donley	3,828	69.0%	2.7	71.0%	1.0	*	
Duval	13,120	121.5%	2.5	90.5%	1.6	169.5%	
Eastland Ector	18,297	106.2%	0.8	80.3% 98.8%	0.5	120.0%	
ector Edwards	121,123 2,162	104.2% 66.7%	1.0 1.6	98.8% 100.0%	0.8 1.0	400.0%	
Ellis	111,360	88.9%	3.6	83.3%	1.0	400.0%	
					1		
El Paso	679,622	96.9%	0.7	97.5%	1.1	108.9%	
Erath	33,001	110.1%	1.7	101.1%	0.7	*	
Falls	18,576	79.4%	1.0	181.4%	0.7	181.6%	
Fannin Favette	31,242	92.6%	1.6	83.0%	1.7	* 51.5%	
Fayette	21,804	86.9%	2.6	77.9%	1.5	51.5%	
Fisher	4,344	167.2%	1.5	91.7%	0.7	*	
Floyd	7,771	95.5%	1.2	87.1%	1.5	*	
Foard	1,622	87.5%	7.2	288.9%	2.0	*	
Fort Bend	354,452	100.4%	0.7	94.1%	0.9	*	
Franklin	9,458	56.9%	2.8	93.2%	0.6	23.1%	
Freestone	17,867	96.9%	0.9	110.4%	0.6	135.7%	
rio	16,252	49.6%	2.3	76.4%	2.9	85.0%	
		110.1%	1.9	72.8%	1.4	91.7%	
Gaines	14,467	110.170					

## **District Court Performance Measures**

Clearance Rate and Backlog Index for the Year Ended August 31, 2002 (Counties Listed in Alphabetical Order)

	2000	CIVIL C	ASES	CRIMINAL	CASES	JUVENILE CASES
	Population		Backlog Index		Backlog Index	Clearance Rate
Gillespie	20,814	69.6%	10.8	280.0%	5.0	*
Glasscock	1,406	150.0%	2.1	*	4.0	*
Goliad Gonzales	6,928 18,628	58.9% 102.8%	2.1 1.1	109.1% 90.1%	2.5 2.7	* 84.0%
Gray	22,744	93.5%	1.1	73.2%	0.7	84.6%
Grayson	110,595	100.2%	2.0	96.7%	0.7	60.4%
Gregg Grimes	111,379 23,552	94.6% 95.4%	1.3 3.5	97.0% 135.8%	1.0 1.0	103.4%
Guadalupe	89,023	109.5%	0.8	62.5%	2.1	102.0%
Hale	36,602	102.3%	0.7	85.4%	0.7	103.4%
Hall	3,782	162.0%	2.1	94.4%	0.9	0.0%
Hamilton	8,229	86.9%	0.4	98.1%	0.6	*
Hansford Hardeman	5,369 4,724	85.4% 88.5%	2.5 4.3	135.7% 133.3%	2.5 1.3	0.0%
Hardin	48,073	98.8%	1.3	110.0%	1.3	93.4%
Harris	3,400,578	83.3%	1.2	98.2%	0.7	99.9%
Harrison	62,110	79.0%	0.9	97.2%	0.4	*
Hartley	5,537	88.0%	1.0	117.6%	1.2	*
Haskell	6,093	81.9%	1.8	72.0%	0.2	115.4%
Hays	97,589	113.8%	1.7	77.6%	1.2	*
Hemphill	3,351	213.0%	2.2	96.2%	0.8	*
Henderson	73,277	56.2%	2.6	75.9%	0.8	81.0%
Hidalgo Hill	569,463 32,321	112.2% 85.6%	2.0 1.6	115.7% 101.1%	1.2 1.1	94.1% 79.5%
Hockley	22,716	110.3%	1.4	82.5%	0.8	*
	41.100	00.20/	0.7	110.00/	0.0	141.00
Hood Hopkins	41,100 31,960	98.2% 118.9%	0.7 1.6	119.8% 93.4%	0.6 0.6	141.2% 97.1%
Houston	23,185	90.4%	1.5	98.9%	0.6	97.1%
Howard	33,627	100.4%	0.9	101.4%	1.2	*
Hudspeth	3,344	104.4%	1.8	112.3%	1.1	*
Hunt	76,596	100.8%	0.7	101.9%	0.8	*
Hutchinson	23,857	108.1%	2.9	88.7%	1.8	71.7%
Irion	1,771	161.9%	2.1	156.3%	1.4	25.004
Jack Jackson	8,763 14,391	92.1% 96.2%	2.8 1.1	107.1% 106.5%	0.6 0.7	25.0%
T		96.1%	1.2	141.9%	0.9	*
Jasper Jeff Davis	35,604 2,207	114.0%	1.5	72.7%	2.6	*
Jefferson	252,051	92.6%	0.4	90.7%	0.7	88.1%
Jim Hogg	5,281	105.3%	1.9	112.0%	0.8	81.8%
Jim Wells	39,326	93.7%	1.3	166.1%	1.5	*
Johnson	126,811	96.6%	0.9	98.7%	0.9	*
Jones	20,785	75.5%	1.7	97.1%	1.1	**
Karnes Kaufman	15,446 71,313	65.9% 96.7%	2.7 0.9	89.9% 57.9%	0.9 1.8	42.9%
Kendall	23,743	106.5%	1.0	123.2%	0.8	*
Kenedy	41400%	118.6%	0.9	51.5%	1.1	*
Kent	85900%	140.0%	1.4	80.0%	1.0	0.0%
Kerr	43,653	79.6%	1.1	98.1%	0.9	*
Kimble King	4,468 35600%	93.5% 125.0%	1.8 1.8	67.0% 100.0%	0.8 0.7	71.4%
-						
Kinney Kleberg	3,379 31,549	54.2% 87.9%	1.0 1.1	96.6% 94.0%	0.7 1.0	83.3%
Knox	4,253	66.7%	2.8	92.0%	4.2	150.0%
Lamar	48,499	99.0%	0.9	87.0%	0.6	*
Lamb	14,709	101.8%	2.7	84.3%	1.0	*
Lampasas	17,762	107.6%	0.9	88.0%	1.9	137.0%
La Salle	5,866	74.8%	4.2	115.3%	3.6	*
Lavaca Lee	19,210 15,657	104.2% 100.8%	0.7 4.0	81.0% 108.7%	0.7 1.7	150.0% 96.0%
Leon	15,335	107.9%	1.4	94.8%	1.0	0.0%
I ibart:	70.151	110.19/	3.1	88.4%	1.1	*
Liberty Limestone	70,154 22,051	119.1% 108.2%	3.1 2.1	88.4% 96.6%	1.1 0.4	118.2%
Lipscomb	3,057	87.3%	1.6	263.6%	1.0	*
Live Oak	12,309	68.7%	3.8	104.4%	1.5	116.7%
Llano	17,044	81.9%	1.3	98.4%	1.1	100.0%
Loving	6700%	50.0%	1.0	*	*	*
Lubbock	242,628	105.6%	0.8	98.9%	0.8	69.1%
Lynn McCulloch	6,550 8,205	98.8% 114.8%	1.9 1.3	116.9% 130.2%	1.1 0.8	100.0%
McLennan	213,517	90.8%	4.0	101.2%	0.6	118.8%
McMullen	85100%	131.3%	1.2	50.0%	1.5	*
Madison	12,940	86.7%	2.2	124.0%	1.3	0.0%
Marion	10,941	60.0%	4.4	81.4%	1.2	0.0%
Martin	4,746	87.1%	2.3	533.3%	2.0	100.00/
Mosor	3,738	80.3%	1.2	80.0%	0.9	100.0%
Mason	37,957	89.2%	1.2	118.7%	0.6	226.8%
Matagorda			2.0	157.9%	2.3	102.6%
Matagorda Maverick	47,297	93.6%		100 507		
Matagorda Maverick Medina	47,297 39,304	93.5%	0.7	100.7% 110.9%	1.1 0.6	
Matagorda Maverick Medina Menard	47,297			100.7% 110.9% 100.6%	0.6 0.6	100.0%
Mason  Matagorda  Maverick  Medina  Menard  Midland	47,297 39,304 2,360 116,009	93.5% 87.5% 86.2%	0.7 0.6 1.0	110.9% 100.6%	0.6 0.6	100.0%
Matagorda Maverick Medina Menard Midland Milam	47,297 39,304 2,360 116,009 24,238	93.5% 87.5% 86.2%	0.7 0.6	110.9% 100.6% 104.3%	0.6	
Matagorda Maverick Medina Menard Midland	47,297 39,304 2,360 116,009	93.5% 87.5% 86.2%	0.7 0.6 1.0	110.9% 100.6%	0.6 0.6 1.0	100.0% * 53.3%

## **District Court Performance Measures**

Clearance Rate and Backlog Index for the Year Ended August 31, 2002 (Counties Listed in Alphabetical Order)

	2000	CIVIL CASES CRIMINAL CASES				JUVENILE CASES
	Population	Clearance Rate Ba	acklog Index	Clearance Rate Ba	cklog Index	Clearance Rate
Moore	20,121	93.4%	1.1	69.0%	0.3	*
Morris	13,048	198.8%	1.2	77.0%	1.0	108.3%
Motley	1,426	168.4%	4.5	140.0%	1.6	*
Nacogdoches	59,203	98.6%	2.2	83.3%	0.6	61.7%
Navarro	45,124	99.3%	1.0	106.9%	0.4	98.1%
Newton	15,072	83.8%	2.1	113.9%	3.4	*
Nolan	15,802	67.5%	2.4	96.3%	0.6	*
Nueces	313,645	100.2%	0.8	88.2%	0.9	81.7%
Ochiltree	9,006	125.7%	1.0	85.2%	0.4	*
Oldham	2,185	53.6%	1.7	96.0%	1.0	*
Orange	84,966	99.4%	1.7	100.9%	1.2	*
Palo Pinto	27,026	62.8%	1.6	129.2%	0.8	*
Panola	22,756	111.7%	2.2	90.1%	2.0	*
Parker	88,495	81.0%	1.6	102.1%	0.5	79.5%
Parmer	10,016	93.2%	0.4	117.1%	0.6	*
Pecos	16,809	84.3%	2.5	121.4%	1.8	*
Polk	41,133	84.6%	3.3	91.6%	0.9	96.9%
Potter	113,546	102.5%	1.2	91.9%	0.7	197.5%
Presidio	7,304	130.2%	2.2	89.5%	2.8	100.0%
Rains	9,139	287.6%	0.9	77.8%	0.4	*
Randall	104,312	92.5%	0.8	99.0%	0.7	111.4%
Reagan	3,326	142.4%	1.7	137.5%	0.7	111.4%
Real	3,047	119.1%	0.7	100.0%	0.7	*
Red River	14,314	77.3%	1.5	84.1%	0.9	116.0%
Reeves	13,137	100.5%	0.3	91.8%	0.3	*
Dafinaio.	7.000			*	*	
Refugio Roberts	7,828 88700%	83.3%	1.7	60.0%	1.5	*
Roberts Robertson	16,000	83.3% 89.2%	1.7	103.7%	0.5	109.1%
Rockwall	43,080	92.4%	0.8	94.3%	0.5	109.1%
Runnels	11,495	108.3%	1.1	85.3%	0.3	100.0%
Rusk	47,372	106.1%	1.7	105.6%	1.1	100.00/
Sabine San Augustina	10,469 8,946	147.8% 79.7%	2.2 5.0	87.0% 88.3%	8.3 4.6	100.0%
San Augustine San Jacinto	22,246	98.1%	1.3	80.6%	0.9	129.2%
San Patricio	67,138	90.6%	0.6	90.2%	0.9	106.6%
San Saba	6,186	95.7%	1.2	81.0%	0.6	100.0%
Schleicher	2,935	122.9%	2.1	57.7%	2.6	*
Scurry Shackelford	16,361 3,302	95.7% 95.2%	1.6 1.2	107.4% 93.9%	1.2 0.8	60.9%
Shelby	25,224	96.7%	0.8	118.1%	1.1	70.0%
Sherman	3,186	71.4%	2.6	111.1%	0.9	*
Smith	174,706	97.3%	0.7	102.4%	0.6	*
Somervell Starr	6,809 53,597	106.3% 107.0%	0.7 3.8	106.7% 63.9%	5.7	
Stephens	9,674	79.0%	2.0	67.0%	3.4	72.7%
Sterling	1,393	145.5%	2.6	325.0%	1.5	100.0%
Stonewall Sutton	1,693	107.1%	7.8	76.9%	1.2	50.0%
Sutton Swisher	4,077 8,378	164.6% 108.4%	1.2	83.7% 66.0%	1.8 1.0	
Farrant	1,446,219	94.6%	0.8	95.2%	0.6	95.0%
	.,,					
Taylor	126,555	106.6%	0.8	97.4%	1.4	75.0%
Terrell	1,081	100.0%	0.6	200.0%	3.5	*
Геггу	12,761	77.3%	0.9	102.1%	0.7	*
Throckmorton Fitus	1,850 28,118	40.0% 82.6%	22.9 6.5	85.7% 72.5%	0.6 0.9	57.9%
	20,110	02.070	0.3	1 L.J 70	0.7	31.9%
Fom Green	104,010	97.8%	1.0	98.5%	0.6	55.9%
Γravis	812,280	89.0%	2.3	81.7%	2.7	97.1%
Frinity	13,779	86.5%	3.8	85.5%	0.5	87.0%
Fyler Tachus	20,871	89.4%	0.9	89.6%	0.5	110.0%
Jpshur	35,291	81.4%	0.9	96.7%	0.5	84.4%
Jpton	3,404	92.3%	2.3	217.6%	1.5	*
Jvalde	25,926	109.1%	0.4	91.6%	0.9	0.0%
Val Verde	44,856	95.4%	1.4	90.0%	1.5	136.7%
Van Zandt	48,140	53.7%	4.5	77.1%	2.2	***************************************
Victoria	84,088	104.4%	1.3	102.3%	0.7	400.0%
Walker	61,758	91.9%	2.8	87.0%	1.5	*
Waller	32,663	107.0%	7.3	87.2%	0.4	*
Ward	10,909	103.2%	0.3	98.5%	0.3	*
Washington	30,373	130.3%	1.5	96.8%	1.0	*
Webb	193,117	91.6%	1.1	90.2%	2.9	*
Wharton	41,188	84.5%	1.1	93.8%	0.5	87.0%
Wheeler	5,284	91.6%	1.5	81.3%	0.6	*
Wichita	131,664	73.5%	1.6	103.6%	0.7	124.0%
Wilbarger	14,676	36.5%	3.9	142.0%	3.7	*
Willacy	20,082	75.7%	4.1	94.8%	3.8	120.0%
Williamson	249,967	89.3%	1.0	85.7%	1.1	102.3%
Wilson	32,408	102.9%	1.2	75.8%	1.7	60.0%
Winkler	7,173	100.0%	4.3	89.7%	2.2	*
Wise	48,793	111.0%	1.3	109.4%	0.7	92.9%
Wood	36,752	85.0%	1.0	95.0%	0.4	58.5%
V1		104		20.0:		
Yoakum	7,322	124.5%	1.1	79.0%	0.6	*
Young Zapata	17,943 12,182	88.6% 46.6%	1.9 2.8	85.9% 82.1%	0.8 1.7	52.5%
	12,102					J2.J76
Zavala	11,600	108.3%	2.1	166.7%	3.2	