

Cause No. 11-00854-B

BRIDGET BROWN PARSON

‡
‡
‡
‡
‡

IN THE 44TH JUDICIAL

VS.

DISTRICT COURT OF

JUDGE ROBERT PRICE, ET AL.

DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS

**ORDER DECLARING BRIDGET BROWN PARSON
TO BE A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT**

Defendants Nathan K. Griffin and John R. Norris III's *Motion to Have Plaintiff (Bridget Brown Parson) Declared a Vexatious Litigant and Requesting Security*, and Defendants John Warren, Katherine Sims, Beth Miller, Vickie Dean's *Defendants' Joinder to Motion to Have Plaintiff Declared a Vexatious Litigant and Request for Security* came on for hearing on August 1, 2011. Plaintiff Bridget Brown Parson will hereinafter be referred to as "Ms. Parson."

Prior to hearing any matters, the Court's attention was directed to a motion filed on by Ms. Parson seeking a continuance of the August 1, 2011, hearing. Ms. Parson filed her motion in person at the Dallas County District Clerk's office on August 1, 2011. Her motion alleged a lack of notice of the August 1, 2011, setting. The Court was advised that Ms. Parson left the courthouse after filing her motion and thereafter failed to appear at the hearing. She did not urge her motion for a continuance. In an abundance of caution, the Court sought and received evidence that three attorneys had mailed timely notice of the August 1, 2011, hearing, both by certified return receipt requested mail, by regular mail, and by telephone messages. Evidence was received that one certified letter was received and the others were refused. No regular mail was refused.

After being paged in and around the Court Room, it was determined that Ms. Parson failed to appear.

Ms. Nancy H. Hamren failed to appear on behalf of her client due to the necessity of her attending her ill father. The Court was advised that she and her client agreed for the Court to continue with out her present. All other Defendants were present by and through their attorneys of record.

MS. PARSON'S MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

Based on the evidence received and considered, and the arguments of Counsel, the Court finds that Ms. Parson did, in fact, have adequate statutory notice of the hearing date and time and that she intentionally failed to appear.

Additionally, based on the evidence received and considered, and the arguments of Counsel, the Court finds that Ms. Parson's motion failed to comply with law and procedures and should be Denied.

THEREFORE, Ms. Parson's Motion for Continuance was **DENIED** and an Order has been signed to that effect.

**DEFENDANTS NATHAN K. GRIFFIN AND JOHN R. NORRIS III'S
MOTION TO HAVE PLAINTIFF DECLARED A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT
AND REQUESTING SECURITY**

AND

**DEFENDANTS JOHN WARREN, KATHERINE SIMS,
BETH MILLER, VICKIE DEAN'S
DEFENDANTS' JOINDER TO MOTION
TO HAVE PLAINTIFF DECLARED A VEXATIOUS LITIGANT
AND REQUEST FOR SECURITY**

Based on the evidence received and considered, and the arguments of Counsel, the Court finds the following to be typical of Ms. Parson's litigation tactics and their consequences:

I. Court actions flowing from Ms. Parson's employment by the Wilmer-Hutchins Independent School District.

- A. On March 3, 2003, Ms. Parson, as a pro se plaintiff, filed Cause No. 3-03CV-492 K, *Bridget Parson v. Wilmer-Hutchins Independent School District*, in the US District Court - Northern District of Texas - Dallas Division, a suit alleging harassment and a hostile work environment.
1. On January 28, 2005, Ms. Parson's pro se appeal of an order setting aside an interlocutory Default Judgment was dismissed by the Fifth Circuit US Court of Appeals in Cause No. 04-10491, with costs assessed against Ms. Parson;
 2. On July 14, 2004, Federal Judge Ed Kinkeade denied twenty-three (23) of Ms. Parson's motions;
 3. On August 26, 2004, Federal Judge Jane Boyle found Ms. Parson had engaged in abusive litigation tactics, including refusing to take delivery of discovery, refusing to accept phone calls, refusing to respond to discovery requests and inundating the Court with frivolous motions. Judge Boyle ordered Ms. Parson to cease filing frivolous motions, but Ms. Parson filed six additional frivolous motions within one week of the Order;
 4. On January 20, 2005, Federal Judge Boyle signed an *Order*,
 - a. denying Ms. Parson's *Plaintiff Motion to Quash Strike, and Untitle Defendant Untimely, Insufficient, "Notice of Deposition..."* that Ms. Parson filed on January 14, 2005;
 - b. denying as frivolous Ms. Parson's *Request for Evidentiary Hearing Request for Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law* that Ms. Parson filed on January 18, 2005.
 - c. denying as frivolous Ms. Parson's *Motion for Final Summary Judgment By Default as a Matter of Law* that Ms. Parson filed on January 18, 2005; and
 - d. advising Ms. Parson that any future motion seeking a default judgment of \$17,164,000.00 would be denied as frivolous and sanctions would be imposed
 5. On February 17, 2005, Federal Judge Boyle dismissed Ms. Parson's cause of action with prejudice, granted the defendant's Motion for Sanctions because of her deliberate disobedience of the Court's orders, her continued filing of frivolous motions, her refusal to be deposed, and the Court's determination that there are no sanctions short of dismissal that would cause Ms. Parson to alter her behavior.

6. On March 8, 2005, Federal Judge Boyle signed an Order:
 - a. denying as frivolous *Plaintiff Motion for New Trial, To set Aside Judgment Signed on 02-18-05(,) Supplemental Motion to Vacate Order Signed on 02-17-05(,) To Reinstate Her Case, For New Trial(,) Recusal of Judge(,) Prior Jury Trial Date of 03-07-05(, and) Request for Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law* that Ms. Parson filed on January 24, 2005;
 - b. denying as frivolous *Plaintiff Motion for: Reconsideration of Order Signed on 06(03)-01-05(,) Supplemental Motion to Rule On Pending Motions(,) Supplemental Motion for Recusal(, and) Request for Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law* that Ms. Parson filed on March 3, 2005;
 - c. denying as frivolous Ms. Parson's *Motion to Correct Docket Sheet to Include Filed on 02-01-05, 02-24-05, and 02-28-05 That Were Filed But Not Docketed* that was filed on March 2, 2005;
 - d. denying as frivolous *Plaintiff Motion in Limine* that Ms. Parson filed on February 21, 2005;
 - e. denying as frivolous *Plaintiff Amended Motion in Limine* that Ms. Parson filed on March 3, 2005; and
 - f. advising Ms. Parson that the Court will strike any new frivolous and groundless motions from the record.
7. On March 18, 2005, Ms. Parson was a pro se plaintiff-appellant in, Cause No. 05-10389, *Bridget Parson v. Wilmer-Hutchins Independent School District*, in the Fifth Circuit US Court of Appeals, seeking an appeal of Judge Boyle's order dismissing *Parson v. Wilmer-Hutchins Independent School District*, Cause No. 3-03CV-492-K.
8. On October 14, 2005, the Fifth Circuit US Court of Appeals found, in Cause No. 05-10389, *Bridget Parson v. Wilmer-Hutchins Independent School District*, that multiple violations of the Court's orders and instances of Ms. Parson's abusive litigation practices fully supported the affirmation of Judge Boyle's dismissal of Ms. Parson's suit.
- B. On October 15, 2003, Ms. Parson, as a pro se plaintiff, filed Cause No. 03-11091, *Bridget Parson v. Evelyn Burks*, in the 116th Judicial District Court in Dallas County, Texas, a suit against an employee of the Wilmer-Hutchins Independent School District, alleging offensive or provocative physical contact. (Ms. Burks was alleged to be the principle of a school in the Wilmer-Hutchins Independent School District.)
 1. On December 6, 2003, the 116th Judicial District Court in Dallas County, Texas, granted the defendant's "no evidence summary judgment" and dismissed Ms. Parson's suit with costs assessed to Ms. Parson.
 2. On April 8, 2005, Ms. Parson was a pro se plaintiff-appellant in Cause No. 05-05-00197-CV, *Bridget Parson v. Evelyn Burks*, in the 5th District of Texas at Dallas Court of Appeals.
 3. On August 24, 2005, the 5th District of Texas at Dallas Court of Appeals issued a Per Curiam Memorandum Opinion in which it concluded that Ms. Parson failed to comply with the Texas rules of appellate procedure and dismissed Ms. Parson's appeal. On that same date, the Court issued a Judgment dismissing Ms. Parson's appeal.
- C. On September 17, 2004, Ms. Parson, as a pro se plaintiff, filed Cause No. 04-9700, *Bridget Parson v. Dr. Charles Mathews, Superintendent Wilmer-Hutchins Independent*

School District, in the 14th Judicial District Court in Dallas County, Texas, a suit alleging sexual harassment

1. On December 30, 2004, Cause No. 04-9700, *Bridget Parson v. Dr. Charles Mathews, Superintendent Wilmer-Hutchins Independent School District*, was dismissed for want of jurisdiction.
 2. On January 4, 2005, Ms. Parson was a pro se plaintiff-appellant in Cause No. 05-05-00191, *Bridget Parson v. Dr. Charles Mathews, Superintendent Wilmer-Hutchins Independent School District*, in the 5th District of Texas at Dallas Court of Appeals, seeking a reversal of the trial court's dismissal of Cause No. 04-9700, *Bridget Parson v. Dr. Charles Mathews, Superintendent Wilmer-Hutchins Independent School District*.
 3. On August 16, 2005, the 5th District of Texas at Dallas Court of Appeals issued a Per Curiam Memorandum Opinion in which it concluded that Ms. Parson failed to comply with the Texas rules of appellate procedure and dismissed Ms. Parson's appeal.
- D. On November 21, 2002, Mr. James Krug, attorney for Ms. Parson, filed Cause No. 02-11008, *Roosevelt Robinson, AKA Robel Robinson*, in the 192nd Judicial District Court in Dallas County, Texas, a suit alleging sexual harassment. Subsequently, Mr. Krug withdrew as Ms. Parson's attorney and Ms. Parson continued the prosecution of the cause of action pro se. (Mr. Robinson was alleged to be the principle of a school in the Wilmer-Hutchins Independent School District.)
1. On March 8, 2005, Judge Merrill Hartman found that Ms. Parson failed to establish and prove the allegations set out in her Original Petition and, for that reason, dismissed Ms. Parson's suit.

II. Court action flowing from Ms. Parson's interaction with Stafford Breaux D/B/A All My Angles Learning Center.

- A. On November 10, 2005, Ms. Parson was a pro se plaintiff-appellant in Cause No. 02-13079, *Bridget Parson, Individually and as Next Friend of Minor Deemetrice Parson v. Joyce and Stafford Breaux D/B/A All My Angles Learning Center*, in the 5th District of Texas at Dallas Court of Appeals. On that date the Court issued a Per Curiam Memorandum Opinion in which it concluded that Ms. Parson failed to comply with the Texas rules of appellate procedure and dismissed Ms. Parson's appeal. On that same date, the Court issued a Judgment dismissing Ms. Parson's appeal, with costs assessed against Ms. Parson.

III. Court actions flowing from Ms. Parson's involvement with the estate of Vennie Zanella Booty Brown.

- A. Ms. Parson was initially appointed Administratrix of Cause No. 05-01627-P2, *The Estate of Vennie Zanella Booty Brown*, then pending in the Probate Court No 2, in Dallas County, Texas.
 1. On August 1, 2006, Judge Robert Price removed Ms. Parson as the Administratrix of *The Estate of Vennie Zanella Booty Brown*, ordered her to deliver all Letters of Administration to the County Clerk, and to deliver all assets in her possession and control to the Successor Administrator.
 2. On October 27, 2006, Judge Price appointed Mr. Nathan K. Griffin Successor Administrator of the Estate of Vennie Zanella Booty Brown.
 3. On March 7, 2008, Ms. Parson, as a pro-se litigant, filed a document titled *Administrator, Bridgett Brown Parson, Motion to Recuse Judge Robert Price and for: 1. Motion to Dismiss, Quash, Strike, Unfile "Motion "Intent to Take Deposition of Notary*

Public" on a Non Jurisdictional Topic... 2. Motion to Show Authority to Act 3. Motion to Disqualify Attorney's (sic) Nathan Griffin & Norris(.) 4. Monetary Sanctions for \$300,000.00 Against Griffin & Norris(.) 5. Supplemental Enforcement Motion to Close the Estate Filed 05-23-05 in Which an Unchallenged Affidavit Was Filed in 2006 6. Enforcement Motion to Strike, Unfile, and Set Aside All Orders Dated 10-24-06 et al "Prior" to That Date That Conflict With the Final Order Approving the Inventory on 01-23-06 That Violates Due Process of Law,... 7. Supplemental Motion "Plea to the Jurisdiction on Orders "After" Unchallenged Final Order Dated 01-26-06 and Unchallenged Contest Deadline Date of 04-03-06(.) 8. Supplemental Motion for Temporary Restraining & Protective Order, Estoppel, Pending Recusal & Appeal to 5th Ct(.) This document contains, among other things, Ms. Parson's forth motion to recuse Judge Price.

4. On August 31, 2010, Ms. Parson filed *Emergency Motion for Recusal of Judge Robert Price (Amended Motion to Recuse Judge Price)*.
 5. On September 27, 2010, Judge Vickie Isaacs conducted a hearing on *Emergency Motion for Recusal of Judge Robert Price (Amended Motion to Recuse Judge Price)*. Ms. Parson, although duly notified, failed to appear. After hearing evidence and arguments of counsel, Judge Isaacs Denied Ms. Parson's recusal motion, assessed \$1,7500.00 in attorney's fees to be paid by Ms. Parson, and prohibited Ms. Parson from filing any additional Proceedings in Cause No. 05-01627-P2, *The Estate of Vennie Zanella Booty Brown*, without first obtaining leave of court. Judge Isaacs signed a formal *Order on Motion to Recuse* on November 15, 2010.
- B. On March 29, 2006, Ms. Parson, as a pro se plaintiff, filed Cause No. 06-03636, *Bridget Parson v. Richard Mills*, in the 191st Judicial District Court in Dallas County, Texas, a suit alleging sexual harassment. (Mr. Mills was an attorney representing parties adverse to Ms. Parson in Cause No. 05-01627-P2, *The Estate of Vennie Zanella Booty Brown*.)
1. On May 4, 2006, Ms. Kimberly J. Munson filed *Defendant Richard Mills' Original Answer*.
 2. On May 23, 2006, Ms. Kimberly J. Munson mailed Defendant Richard Mills' Objections to Plaintiff's First Request for Interrogatories and Request for Production for filing in Cause No. 06-03636, *Bridget Parson v. Richard Mills*.
 3. On June 6, 2006, Ms. Parson filed *Plaintiff Motion for Summary Judgment as a Matter of Law* alleging Mr. Mills failed to properly appear and failed to properly respond to interrogatories. She urged the court to grant a summary judgment in the amount of \$500,000.00. No summary judgment affidavits were attached.
 4. On August 11, 2006, the presiding judge in the 191st Judicial District Court in Dallas County, Texas, signed an *Order Declaring Plaintiff a Vexatious Litigant, Requiring Security and Requiring a Prefiling Order*. The Order declared Ms. Parsons to be a vexatious litigant, required security in the amount of \$7,500.00, dismissed the case with prejudice, but did not require a prefiling order. A *Final Order Granting Motion to Dismiss With Prejudice*, with all court costs and legal fees assessed to Ms. Parson was signed on November 14, 2006.
- C. On January 26, 2011, Ms. Parson, as a pro se plaintiff, filed the instant case, Cause No. DC-11-00854, *Bridget Brown Parson, Administrator of the Estate v. In the Estate of Vennie Zanella Booty Brown; Judge Robert Price, Presiding Judge & Individually; Judge Guy Herman, Presiding Statutory Judge & Individually; Probate Court #2; Deutsch Bank*,

Ocwen Mortgage Company; Nathan griffin, Appointed Successor Administrator & Individually; John Norris III, Appointed Attorney & Individually; Court Reporter & Individually; John Warren, Clerk & Individually; and Vicki Dean, Probate Manager & Individually. In the 14th Judicial District Court in Dallas County, Texas.

1. Ms. Parson's original petition is titled *Plaintiff Original Petition to Transfer Venue With Temporary Restraining Order to Stop Further Proceedings and Distribution of Estate Funds and for Monetary Damages and Bill of Review to: (1) Close Estate Since July 28, 2006(,) (2) Remove Successor Administrator, Nathan Griffin, (3) Remove Judge Robert Price, et al From Presiding Over Estate of Vennie Zanella Booty Brown(, and) (4) Stop Further Disputed Proceedings, Hearing, Pending Petition.*
2. At the time of the instant hearing, the subject case has not been finally resolved
3. Ms. Parsons presented no allegations or supporting authority relative to
 - a. her self-designation as "Administrator of the Estate" or identifying the "Estate" that she administers; or
 - b. any law or court procedure authorizing a Texas District Court to entertain a request to assume jurisdiction over a case originally filed in a Texas Probate Court, or
 - c. any law or court procedure authorizing a Texas District Court to restrain proceedings in a Texas Probate Court; or
 - d. any law or court procedure authorizing a Texas District Court to restrain the distribution of estate funds by a Texas Probate Court; or
 - e. any law or court procedure authorizing a Texas District Court to conduct a Bill of Review of a Texas Probate Case; or
 - f. any law or court procedure authorizing a Texas District Court to close a case pending in a Texas Probate Court; or
 - g. any law or court procedure authorizing a Texas District Court to remove an administrator in a case pending in a Texas Probate Court; or
 - h. any law or court procedure authorizing a Texas District Court to remove a probate judge from a hearing case in the Court to which the judge has been elected; or
 - i. any law or court procedure authorizing a Texas District Court to stop proceedings or other matters in a Texas Probate Court.
4. On March 11, 2011, defendants Nathan K. Griffin and John R. Norris III filed their *Motion to Have Plaintiff (Bridget Brown Parson) Declared a Vexatious Litigant and Requesting Security.*
5. On June 11, 2011, defendants John Warren, Katherine Sims, Beth Miller, and Vickie Dean filed *Defendants' Joinder to Motion to Have Plaintiff Declared a Vexatious Litigant and Request for Security.*

The foregoing findings establish that:

1. there is no reasonable probability that Ms. Parson will prevail in this case.
2. Ms. Parson has, within the last seven years, commenced, prosecuted, and maintained in propria persona more than five litigations in US Federal District, US Federal Appellate Courts, Texas District Courts, Texas County Courts-at-law, and Texas Appellate Courts;
3. Ms. Parson's litigations have been determined by trial and appellant courts to be frivolous and groundless under state and federal laws and rules of procedure.

4. Ms. Parson has, in propria persona, repeatedly attempted to relitigate the validity of actions against the same defendant(s) after the actions have been fully determined;
5. Ms. Parson has, in propria persona, repeatedly attempted to relitigate the causes of action, claims, controversies, and issues of fact and law determined or concluded by a final determination against the same defendant as to whom the litigation was finally determined; and
6. Ms. Parson has previously been declared to be a vexatious litigant by state and federal courts in actions and proceedings based on the same or substantially similar facts, transactions, and occurrences.

Defendants Nathan K. Griffin and John R. Norris III's *Motion to Have Plaintiff Declared a Vexatious Litigant and Requesting Security*, and Defendants John Warren, Katherine Sims, Beth Miller, Vickie Dean's *Defendants' Joinder to Motion to Have Plaintiff Declared a Vexatious Litigant and Request for Security* are well taken and should, in all respects, be GRANTED.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Bridget Brown Parson is hereby declared a vexatious litigant pursuant to Chapter 11 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Bridget Brown Parson shall, on or before 4:00 P.M. on September 2, 2011, furnish security to the Clerk of the Court in the amount of \$30,000, to assure payment, to any or all defendants herein, of their reasonable expenses incurred in or in connection with the defense of this lawsuit or any other litigation commenced, caused to be commenced, maintained or caused to be maintained by Bridget Brown Parson, in propria persona, including costs and attorneys' fees.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Bridget Brown Parson fails to provide the security ordered herein by 4:00 P.M. on September 2, 2011, the Court shall dismiss, with prejudice, the claims of Bridget Brown Parson in the above-styled and numbered action, as to all the defendants, and shall enter a judgment that Bridget Brown Parson take nothing on her claims against the defendants and that the defendants shall recover their costs of Court from Bridget Brown Parson.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Bridget Brown Parson shall not file any further litigation in any Court in the State of Texas without first obtaining the permission of the Local Administrative Judge of the Court in which she intends to file such litigation. If Bridget Brown Parson disobeys this Order, she shall be subject to contempt of Court.

Signed August 3, 2011, at 3:40 P.M.



B. F. (Bill) Coker
Senior Judge of the 191st Judicial District Court
Sitting by Assignment *from 44th Court*