

Affirmed and Opinion filed December 2, 1999.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-99-00106-CR

MICHAEL SCOT HANNON, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 262nd District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 791,937**

OPINION

Michael Scot Hannon (Appellant) was indicted for the felony offense of aggravated sexual assault of a child. Appellant pleaded guilty and was sentenced by the trial court to twenty-five years' confinement in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice. Appellant gave timely notice of appeal.

Appellant's appointed appellate counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record

demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 809 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* brief. As of this date, no *pro se* brief has been filed and the time permitted to file such a brief has expired.

We agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed December 2, 1999.

Panel consists of Chief Justice Murphy and Justices Anderson and Hudson.

Do not publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).