

Affirmed and Opinion filed October 26, 2000.



In The

## **Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

-----  
NO. 14-99-01390-CR  
-----

**CARL RUDOLPH ALEXANDER, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from the 339<sup>th</sup> District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 807,402**

---

### **O P I N I O N**

A jury found appellant guilty of delivery of less than one gram of cocaine, as charged in the indictment. The court assessed punishment at confinement for two years in the State Jail Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice and assessed a fine of two thousand dollars.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493

(1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed October 26, 2000.

Panel consists of Chief Justice Murphy and Justices Amidei and Hudson.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).