

Affirmed and Opinion filed October 26, 2000.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-99-01378-CR

WILFORD JOSEPH, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 155th District Court
Waller County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 99-01-9734**

O P I N I O N

A jury found appellant guilty of murder as charged in the indictment. Upon appellant's plea of true to five enhancement allegations, the jury found each enhancement paragraph true, and assessed punishment at confinement for life in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493

(1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed October 26, 2000.

Panel consists of Chief Justice Murphy and Justices Amidei and Hudson.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).