

Affirmed and Opinion filed October 12, 2000.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-99-01376-CR

NICHOLAS LEE BOWNES, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 208th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 800,517

OPINION

Appellant entered a plea of guilty to the felony offense of aggravated robbery without an agreed recommendation on punishment from the State. Following the return of a pre-sentence investigation report, the court assessed punishment at confinement for five years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why

there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed October 12, 2000.

Panel consists of Justices Anderson, Fowler and Edelman.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).