

Affirmed and Opinion filed October 12, 2000.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-99-00816-CR

NO. 14-99-00817-CR

MICHAEL SCOTT POVEROMO, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 182nd District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause Nos. 798,867 and 798,868**

O P I N I O N

In cause number 798867, appellant was charged by indictment with the felony offense of delivery of cocaine, alleged to have been committed on November 23, 1998, enhanced with two previous felony convictions. In cause number 798868, appellant was charged by indictment with the felony offense of delivery of cocaine, alleged to have been committed on October 21, 1998, enhanced with two previous felony convictions. Appellant entered a plea of guilty in each cause without an agreed recommendation on punishment from the State. Following the return of a pre-sentence investigation report, appellant entered pleas of true to the enhancement allegations in both causes, and the court found the enhancement allegations

to be true. The court assessed punishment at confinement for twenty-five years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in each case in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The briefs meet the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

Copies of counsel's briefs were delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate records and to file a *pro se* response in each case if he so desired. As of this date, no *pro se* responses have been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the records and counsel's briefs and agree that the appeals are wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record of either case. A discussion of the briefs would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed in each cause and the motions to withdraw are granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed October 12, 2000.

Panel consists of Justices Amidei, Anderson and Frost

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).