

Affirmed and Opinion filed October 12, 2000.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-00-00555-CR

RONALD WAYNE ROZELLE, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 174th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 685,151**

O P I N I O N

Appellant entered a plea of guilty to the felony offense of burglary of a habitation pursuant to a plea bargain agreement. The court deferred adjudication of guilt and placed appellant on probation for five years. Subsequently, the State filed a motion to adjudicate guilt. Upon appellant's plea of true, the court adjudicated guilt and assessed punishment in accordance with a plea bargain at confinement for five years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The

brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed October 12, 2000.

Panel consists of Justices Yates, Wittig and Frost.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).