

**Affirmed and Opinion filed September 14, 2000.**



**In The**

**Fourteenth Court of Appeals**

-----  
**NO. 14-99-00068-CR**  
-----

**GILBERT PEREZ FERREL, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from the 337<sup>th</sup> District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 764,116**

---

**OPINION**

Appellant was charged by indictment with the felony offense of delivery of more than 400 grams of cocaine. Appellant entered a plea of guilty without an agreed recommendation from the State. Upon the return of a pre-sentence investigation report, the Court assessed punishment at confinement for eighteen years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice and a fine of one dollar.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*,

386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed September 14, 2000.

Panel consists of Justices Yates, Fowler and Edelman.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).