

Dismissed and Opinion filed August 16, 2001.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-01-00184-CR

JOHNNY RAY MOUTON, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 177th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 828,666**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant entered a plea of guilty to the offense of murder. On January 5, 2001, the trial court sentenced appellant to confinement for twenty-two years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice pursuant to a plea bargain agreement with the State. Appellant filed a pro se notice of appeal.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which she concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of

the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and file a pro se response. As of this date, no pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief. Appellant's general notice of appeal does not comply with the requirements of Rule 25.2(b)(3) of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 25.2(b)(3). The trial court denied appellant permission to appeal. Therefore, we are without jurisdiction to consider any of appellant's issues, including the voluntariness of the plea. *See Cooper v. State*, 45 S.W.2d 77, 83 (Tex. Crim. App. 2001) (holding that appellant who files general notice of appeal may not appeal voluntariness of negotiated plea).

Accordingly, the appeal is ordered dismissed.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed August 16, 2001.

Panel consists of Chief Justice Brister, Justices Fowler and Seymore.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).