

Affirmed and Opinion filed May 18, 2000.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-99-00107-CR

GERONIMO GARCIA, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 339th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 756,112**

O P I N I O N

Appellant was charged by a two paragraph indictment with the felony offense of murder. After the State abandoned the second paragraph of the indictment, appellant entered a plea of guilty to the first paragraph. Appellant entered his plea without an agreed recommendation on punishment. After a presentence investigation report and a punishment hearing, the trial court assessed punishment at confinement in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice for life.

Appellant's court appointed attorney filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which he concludes the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The

brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967). The brief presents a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable points of error to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 811 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of his right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se response. As of this date, appellant has not responded.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed May 18, 2000.

Panel consists of Justices Amidei, Fowler and Edelman.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).