Affirmed and Opinion filed May 11, 2000.

In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

———————————

NO. 14-00-00042-CR

———————————

DAVID BEN VEDERMAN, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 338th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 812,311

O P I N I O N

Appellant was charged by indictment with the felony offense of possession of a controlled substance, namely cocaine, weighing less than one gram. The indictment contained two enhancement paragraphs alleging two prior felony convictions. Following a plea of guilty and a plea of true to each enhancement paragraph, the court found appellant guilty as charged in the indictment. The court assessed punishment in accordance with a plea agreement at confinement in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice for two years, as well as participation in a substance abuse felony offender program.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed May 11, 2000.
Panel consists of Justices Amidei, Anderson, and Frost.
Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).