

Affirmed and Opinion filed April 26, 2001.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-00-01372-CR

PEDRO FERNANDO SALAZAR, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 177th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 814,212**

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellant entered a plea of guilty, without an agreed recommendation as to punishment, to the offense of third degree felony driving while intoxicated. On September 12, 2000, the trial court sentenced appellant to confinement for five years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice. Appellant filed a pro se notice of appeal.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which she concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation

of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and file a pro se response. As of this date, no pro se response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the state.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed April 26, 2001.

Panel consists of Justices Edelman and Frost and Senior Chief Justice Murphy.¹

Do not publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).

¹ Senior Chief Justice Paul C. Murphy sitting by assignment.