

Affirmed and Opinion filed April 20, 2000.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-98-00951-CR; 14-98-00952-CR; 14-98-00953-CR

JOHNNY BENTON, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 262nd District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 726,359 & 726,360 & 727,468**

OPINION

Appellant, Johnny Benton, pleaded guilty to three offenses of aggravated robbery. The trial court deferred adjudicating his guilt and placed him on ten years' probation. Appellant subsequently pleaded "true" to the State's amended motion to adjudicate guilt, but the court reset the hearing and placed appellant in the Cenikor drug treatment program. Following appellant's violation of the Cenikor program rules, the trial court adjudicated his guilt and sentenced him to twenty-five years' incarceration.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396 (1967), presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se brief. Appellant has reviewed the record, and his motion for extension of time to file a pro se brief was granted. No pro se brief has been filed.

We agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed April 20, 2000.

Panel consists of Justices Sears, Cannon and Draughn.*

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).

* Senior Justices Ross A. Sears, Bill Cannon, and Joe L. Draughn sitting by assignment.