

Affirmed and Opinion filed March 8, 2001.

In The
Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-00-01233-CR

JIMMY VAUGHN, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 180th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause No. 825,515**

OPINION

Appellant was charged by indictment with the felony offense of tampering with evidence, enhanced with a prior felony conviction. After the State abandoned the enhancement allegation, appellant entered a guilty plea pursuant to a plea bargain agreement. The court followed the plea bargain agreement and assessed punishment at confinement for four years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which she concludes that the appeal is wholly

frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, no *pro se* response has been filed.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and the motion to withdraw is granted.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed March 8, 2001.

Panel consists of Justices Anderson, Hudson and Seymore.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).