

Affirmed and Opinion filed February 24, 2000.



In The

## Fourteenth Court of Appeals

-----  
NO. 14-98-01385-CR

NO. 14-98-01386-CR

NO. 14-98-01387-CR  
-----

**MARIO LOPEZ a.k.a. MARIO OSCAR LOPEZ, Appellant**

**V.**

**THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from the 184<sup>th</sup> District Court  
Harris County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 777,455, 777,456 & 777,457**

---

### **OPINION**

Appellant, Mario Lopez, was indicted on three counts of aggravated assault. He pleaded guilty to the offenses pursuant to a plea agreement. The court found appellant guilty on each count and sentenced him to three years in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice. Appellant gave timely notice of appeal.

Appellant's appointed counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*,

386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a pro se brief. As of this date, no pro se brief has been filed and the time permitted to file a brief has expired.

We agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed and we grant appellate counsel's motion to withdraw.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed February 24, 2000.

Panel consists of Justices Sears, Cannon and Hutson-Dunn.\*

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).

---

\* Senior Justices Ross A. Sears, Bill Cannon, and D. Camille Hutson-Dunn sitting by assignment.