

Affirmed and Opinion filed February 17, 2000.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-99-00008-CR

NO. 14-99-00009-CR

WILTON ENARD, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

**On Appeal from the 180th District Court
Harris County, Texas
Trial Court Cause Nos. 780,057 & 780,058**

OPINION

In cause number 780057, appellant was charged by indictment with possession of cocaine, enhanced with two previous felony convictions. In cause number 780058, appellant was charged by indictment with delivery of cocaine, enhanced with two previous felony convictions. He entered a plea of guilty and a plea of true to the enhancement allegations in both causes. There was no agreed recommendation as to punishment. After a pre-sentence investigation was conducted, the court found appellant guilty as charged in both causes, found the enhancement allegations true, and assessed punishment at seven years' confinement in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice.

Appellant's court appointed counsel filed a motion to withdraw from representation of appellant along with a supporting brief in which she concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 385 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* response. As of this date, appellant has not responded.

We have carefully reviewed the record and counsel's brief and agree that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion to withdraw and affirm the judgment of the trial court.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed February 17, 2000.

Panel consists of Justices Yates, Fowler, and Edelman.

Do Not Publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).